

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/955,857	09/19/2001	Yasuteru Takahama	01582/LH	9871
1933	7590 05/12/2003			
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC 767 THIRD AVENUE 25TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			ROBINSON, MARK A	
NEW YORK, NY 10017-2023			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872	
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				3			
.9		Application No.	Applicant(s)	=			
Office Action Summary		09/955,857	TAKAHAMA ET AL.	•			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Mark A. Robinson	2872				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -				
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 N	March 2003 .					
2a)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under						
	on of Claims						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-3,10-17 and 21-34</u> is/are pending in	• •					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>21-34</u> is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) 1-3 and 10-17 is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· ·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requirement.					
	On Fapers The specification is objected to by the Examine						
•	The specification is objected to by the Examinet The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 September 2001</u> is/a		to by the Everniner				
10)[Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)[]]	The proposed drawing correction filed on	* '''	, ,				
,	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep						
12) 🔲 7	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		, , , , , ,				
,-	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buree the attached detailed Office action for a list.	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	·					
	☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	· •					
Attachment	(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4.</u>	5) Notice of Informal I	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
							

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of species a. (fig.

1) reading on claims 1-3 and 10-17 in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged.

Claims 21-34 are withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to non-elected subject matter.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "photographing shutter" and/or "shutter mechanisms" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered. Further, if these features are particular to non-elected embodiments, then applicant should so state and the claims will be withdrawn from consideration.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2872

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 1-3 and 10-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors. Numerous terms lack antecedent basis, for example (in claim 2) "the position," "the TV camera," "the digital camera device," "the end surface portion," etc.

In claim 1 "the external surface" lacks antecedent basis, and it is unclear what this is in reference to (the external surface of what?). Thus it is unclear how the image output port is oriented.

In the last paragraph of claim 3 "therein" is ambiguous and it is unclear what this references.

Due to the manner in which claim 11 is written, it is unclear how the photographing shutter and shutter mechanisms are arranged, especially relative to "the light incoming side" or the "light path switching mechanism." Further, "said photo photographing device" lacks antecedent basis, and it is unclear if this refers to the previously recited "photographing device."

Art Unit: 2872

The metes and bounds of what is intended to be covered by claim 12 are indefinite due to the manner in which this claim is written. The claim seems to merely recite two "spaces" located in the microscope.

In claim 13 it is unclear what is being pulled and inserted by the "light path switching lever."

In claim 14, it is unclear what is meant by making the photographing shutter "available..."

Due to the manner in which claim 15 is written the number of reflections in the microscope is unclear.

In the last paragraph of claim 17 "therein" is ambiguous and it is unclear what this references.

Inasmuch as the claims are able to be understood in light of the 112 rejections made above, the following rejection(s) apply:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at

Art Unit: 2872

the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-3 and 10-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoogesteger 4770520 in view of Feinbloom 4143938 of record.

Hoogesteger shows an inverted microscope including an image output port(39) at a front side of the microscope below the eyepiece, but does not show the particular arrangement for attachment/detachment of at least one photographing device.

However, Feinbloom shows a photographing device which is selectively attachable to a microscope image output port (see figs. 1 and 2). Note that specific lens units (note the first paragraph of column 4) and a light path switching mechanism(26,51,etc.) and shutters are included with the image recording devices. It would have been obvious to the ordinarily skilled artisan at the time of invention to include the photographing device arrangement of Feinbloom with Hoogesteger's microscope in order to enable recording of sample images in both still and video modes.

Regarding claim 14, although not taught by the references, position detecting sensors are well known in the art. It would have been obvious to include such in the microscope of Hoogesteger in view of Feinbloom in order to allow for control

Art Unit: 2872

of the switching mechanism and thus imaging by a particular photographing device.

Regarding claim 15, although not specifically taught by the references, the number of reflections required for proper image orientation is well known and within the level of ordinary skill in the art. It would have been obvious at the time of invention to incorporate either an even or odd number of reflections in the microscope of Hoogesteger in view of Feinbloom in order to ensure proper image reversal or orientation.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Meyer et al 4210384 and Endou et al 5777783 show inverted microscopes with photographing devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Robinson whose telephone number is (703) 305-3506.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cassandra Spyrou can be reached at (703) 308-1687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722.

Art Unit: 2872

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

MR

5/7/03

MARKA: ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER